18th Annual Mild Cognitive Impairment Symposium and Workshop

RATES AND RISK FACTORS FOR MCI PROGRESSION

Mary Ganguli, MD, MPH,

Professor of Psychiatry, Neurology, and Epidemiology,

University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA



DISCLOSURE

Mary Ganguli served on Biogen "Patient Journey Working Group"

in 2016 and 2017.

MCI: you've come a long way



Dawn of reality

- MCI is a heterogeneous entity
- with multiple potential causes.





Neuropathological Diagnoses in all individuals with clinical dementia



Course and Outcome of Amnestic MCI: Clinical Research Series

Mayo Clinic series: 12% of amnestic MCI progress to AD dementia annually. (Petersen et al.,1999)

Washington University series: 100% with CDR =0.5 progress to AD dementia over 9.5 years. (Morris et al., 2001)

Harvard series: volunteer panel: 18.7% with CDR= 0.5 progress to AD dementia over 3 years. (Daly et al., 2000)

Course and Outcome of Amnestic MCI*: *Community Cohorts*

Progression to dementia/AD:

11.1% over 3 years: Eugeria study (2001) 8.3% over 2 years: PAQUID study (2002) 10-17% over 2 years: MoVIES study. (2004) Reversion to normal:

40% over 2 years: PAQUID study 33-56% over 2 years: MoVIES study

Stable MCI (no change):

11-21% at 2 years: MoVIES study

Meta-analysis:

The majority of individuals meeting MCI criteria in population studies <u>will not</u> progress to dementia over ten years.

(Mitchell et al., IGJP 2008)

* Various interpretations

Progression of MCI is not uniform.

The likelihood of progression from MCI to dementia

- will depend on the underlying etiology,
- and therefore on the setting as well.

Progression of MCI is not uniform.

The likelihood of progression from MCI to dementia

- will depend on the underlying etiology,
- and therefore on the setting as well.
- In memory disorders clinics, the vast majority of patients progress from MCI to dementia within a few years.

Progression of MCI is not uniform.

The likelihood of progression from MCI to dementia

- will depend on the underlying etiology,
- and therefore on the setting as well.
- In memory disorders clinics, studies the vast majority of patients progress from MCI to dementia within a few years.
- In population studies, the vast majority remain at the MCI level, i.e. stably impaired;
- while a minority of MCI cases progress to dementia
- and another minority reverts to normal.



Adapted From: Nelson, Tanner, Van Den Eeden, and McGuire, 2004 Monongahela-Youghiogheny Healthy Aging Team (MYHAT)



- Cohort study funded in Sept 2005 by NIA.
- Objectives:
 - Identify older adults who are cognitively normal or only mildly impaired.
 - Identify those who progress to dementia and those who remain free of dementia.
 - Identify predictors of progressing to dementia vs. predictors of remaining dementia-free.

MYHAT Cohort recruitment

- •Age-stratified random sample from publicly available 2004 voter registration list.
- Entry criteria:
 - •Age 65+;
 - •Not currently in long-term care institution;
 - •Not severely impaired in vision or hearing;
 - •No decisional incapacity.

MYHAT Design

- Recruited 2036 individuals.
- Triaged out 54 individuals with age-education corrected MMSE score <21.
- Full assessment of 1982 participants recruited between 2006-2008 (baseline wave/cycle).
- Annual reassessment waves.

MYHAT MCI Outcomes study

- In a population-based cohort, during 2006-2017:
- <u>Excluding</u> those who had dementia at study entry, and those who had less than 5 years' followup after study entry.
- We followed participants for at least 5 years, identifying those who developed MCI (CDR=0.5) and dementia (CDR>=1) at any point.

Mild Cog	Remained Normal				
Reverted to Normal	Stable MCI	Progressed to Dementia	(Total MCI)		
252	384	86	(722)	881	
34%	53%	12%	(100%)		

MCI outcomes over > 5-year followup

- Defining MCI as CDR = 0.5 and dementia as CDR > 1.
- We compared 3 MCI subgroups:

(1) those who progressed to dementia (n=86),

(2) those who **stabilized** at MCI (n=384),

(3) those who reverted to normal (n=252),

• to :

(4) those who **remained consistently normal** (n=881).

• Using multinomial logistic regression models adjusted for demographics, we examined the associations of each group with selected baseline characteristics.

"Baseline" characteristics of entire sample and of MCI subgroups: N=1603							
	Normal	Reverters	StableMCI	Progressors	P value		
	N=881	N=252	N=384	N=86			
Age (Mean/SD)	75.2 (7.0)	77.5 (7.0)	82.3 (6.9)	83.6 (5.7)	0.0001		
Sex (% female)	62.1	58.7	64.1	61.6	NS		
Education (%) <hs,< td=""><td>8.3</td><td>12.7</td><td>20.3</td><td>29.1</td><td></td></hs,<>	8.3	12.7	20.3	29.1			
=HS,	44.6	45.2	47.40	33.7	<0.001		
>HS	47.1	42.1	32.3	37.2			
>0 subjective memory concerns (SMC)	51.4	96.0	94.5	93.0	<0.001		
Number of SMC (median, IQR)	1 (2)	4 (2)	4 (3)	5 (4)	0.0001		
Self-rated health (% poor +fair)	11.8	23.4	24.2	20.9	<0.001		
>0 IADL impairments (%)	8.2	21.0	38.3	48.0	<0.001		
>3 Depressive symptoms (%)	4.7	12.3	11.5	15.1	<0.001		
APOE*4 genotype % E4 (%)	19.2	21.4	21.9	34.2	NS		
Systolic blood pressure >130 (%)	51.8	48.0	51.0	53.5	NS		
Diastolic blood pressure >70 (%)	64.7	61.9	49.0	47.7	<0.001		
H/o Stroke/TIA (%)	8.5	12.3	18.2	22.1	<0.001		
H/o Heart attack (%)	35.3	39.3	37.8	36.1	NS		
H/o Diabetes (%)	20.4	20.2	27.3	23.3	0.044		
Current Smoking (%)	8.5	6.4	3.4	7.0	0.011		
Current Alcohol consumption (%)	88.3	79.4	66.7	62.8	<0.001		
Number of prescription medications (%)	3 (4)	5 (4)	5 (5)	4 (3)	0.0001		
Exercise % any (%)	63.2	56.8	55.5	48.8	0.006		

Comparing MCI subgroups to normal group,

(multinomial logistic regression adjusting for demographics)

	Reverters vs.		Stable MCI vs.		Progressor vs.	
POTENTIAL PREDICTORS	Consistently Normal Consistently		ntly Normal	Consister	ntly	
					Normal	
	RRR	p-value	RRR	p-value	RRR	p-value
>0 Subjective cognitive concerns	22.58	<0.001	16.33	<0.001	12.79	<0.001
Number of subjective concerns	2.52	<0.001	2.73	<0.001	3.02	<0.001
Self-rated health – good/very good/						
excellent (ref: poor/fair)	0.417	<0.001	0.364	<0.001	0.424	0.004
>0 IADL impairments	2.629	<0.001	4.174	<0.001	6.150	<0.001
\geq 3 depressive symptoms	2.872	<0.001	2.557	<0.001	3.55	<0.001
APOE *4 genotype	1.221	NS	1.535	0.012	3.062	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure (SBP)	0.844	NS	0.925	NS	0.976	NS
Diastolic blood pressure (DBP)	0.993	NS	0.74	0.026	0.757	NS
H/o Stroke/TIA	1.518	NS	2.556	<0.001	1.967	0.047
H/o Heart attack	1.088	NS	0.924	NS	0.826	NS
H/o Diabetes	1.002	NS	1.605	0.002	1.312	NS
Smoking	0.846	NS	0.615	NS	1.575	NS
Alcohol	0.543	0.002	0.362	<0.001	0.306	<0.001
Number of Prescription medications	1.13	<0.001	1.15	<0.001	1.02 M.C	Ga ${ m NgS}$ i, University of Pittsburgh

3 MCI outcome groups

MCI subgroups with different 5-year outcomes had *some similar and some distinct* characteristics, suggesting different underlying causes.

Compared to those who remained consistently normal:

- The **progressors** to dementia had profiles broadly typical of Alzheimer's disease; objective memory deficits, *APOE*4*, and also history of stroke.
- The **reverters** to normal did *not* have memory deficits or *APOE*4*; they had more subjective complaints; they took more prescription medications.
- Stable MCIs (*biggest group!*)had diabetes, low diastolic pressure, took more prescription meds, and also had APOE*4 genotype.
- Demonstrates heterogeneity of MCI in the population at large.

In conclusion

- MCI is not always prodromal dementia.
- "Intermediate" ≠ "Transitional"
- The majority of people with MCI in the community do not progress to dementia.
- MCI is even more heterogeneous than dementia.
- Several potentially treatable conditions are associated with MCI that does not progress to dementia.
- Till biomarker-based diagnosis becomes readily available, we should consider non-progressive causes; we should <u>not routinely</u> prescribe anti-AD drugs for everyone with MCI.

For more information about our projects, please visit our website.



www.dementia-epidemiology.pitt.edu

The Cognitive Continuum in the Dementia Research Clinic



SUMMARY

The Cognitive Continuum in the Community



