

# Subjective Cognitive Impairment, Neuroticism and Brain Amyloid Load

### 14<sup>th</sup> Annual MCI Symposium January 16, 2016

#### **Beth Snitz, PhD**

Assistant Professor Dept. of Neurology, School of Medicine University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, USA

# Subjective memory / cognitive complaints and pre-clinical AD: Biomarker studies

- Post-mortem neuritic & diffuse plaques & NF tangles
  - Barnes et al., 2006
- FDG hypometabolism
  - Mosconi et al., 2008; Scheef, Jessen et al., 2012
- Hippocampal atrophy
  - van der Flier et al., 2004; Saykin et al., 2006; Scheef et al., 2012; Perrotin et al.,
    2015; Cherbuin et al., 2015
- APOE\*4
  - Small et al., 1999; Zwan et al., 2015
- Amyloid imaging
  - Perrotin et al., 2012; Amariglio et al., 2012; Snitz et al., 2015; Zwan et al., 2015

# Psychosocial correlates of subjective memory complaints

- Depressive symptoms & anxiety
  - Reid & MacLullich, 2006 review; Schofield et al, 1997; Jungwirth et al., 2004;
    Pearman et al., 2004; Kliegel et al., 2005; Minett et al., 2005; Slavin et al., 2010;
    Buckley et al., 2013
- Personality
  - High neuroticism, low conscientiousness, high somatic complaints
  - Hänninen et al., 1994; Comijs et al., 2002; Jorm et al., 2004; Pearman & Storandt, 2004; Pearman & Storandt, 2005; Slavin et al., 2010; Steinberg et al., 2013
- Stress / cortisol
  - Wolf et al., 2005; Elfgren et al., 2005; Metternich et al, 2009
- Illness perception/ personal relevance / fear of Alzheimer Disease
  - Hurt et al., 2011; French et al., 2012
- Sampling / recruitment / study setting
  - Rodriguez-Gomez et al., 2015; Archer et al., 2015

# Underlying AD pathophysiology

Psychosocial variables / individual differences

Subjective cognitive decline

?

#### Subjective Cognitive Complaints, Personality and Brain Amyloid-beta in Cognitively Normal Older Adults

Beth E. Snitz, Ph.D., Lisa A. Weissfeld, Ph.D., Ann D. Cohen, Ph.D., Oscar L. Lopez, M.D., Robert D. Nebes, Ph.D., Howard J. Aizenstein, M.D., Ph.D., Eric McDade, D.O., Julie C. Price, Ph.D., Chester A. Mathis, Ph.D., William E. Klunk, M.D., Ph.D.

#### Am. J. Geriatric Psychiatry, 23:9, Sep, 2015



# Study goals

1. Replication of previous findings of association between amyloid burden – SCC in healthy CN older adults

• Perrotin et al., 2012; Amariglio et al., 2012

#### 2. Explore five-factor personality as moderating variables

- Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, Neuroticism
- Neuroticism hypotheses:

"Worried well" vs. "Negative-affect-risk"

# Methods

- Add-on to two ongoing PiB-PET imaging studies
  - (Klunk, PI; P01 AG025204; Klunk R37 AG025516)
  - PiB-PET 50-70 minutes; SUVR cerebellum reference; global SUVR = average of 5 cortical regions (precuneus, anterior cingulate, frontal parietal, lateral temporal)

#### • Sample

- n = 89 cognitively normal (CN) participants, based on NP battery
- mean age 80.8 (SD 8.4) years; IQR = 74 to 86 years
- mean educ. 16.6 (SD 9.6) years
- 48% female; 90% white

#### • Detailed self-report measures at time of neuroimaging

- Memory Functioning Questionnaire (Zelinski et al., 1990; 64 items; 4 factors)
- Cognitive Failures Q'nnaire (Broadbent et al., 1982; 25 items; some tap "trait" absent-mindedness)
- Subjective Memory Scale (Ganguli et al.,2004)
- Geriatric Depression Scale (Yesavage et al., 1982)
- NEO-FFI (McCrae & Costa, 2007; 60 items; five-factor personality inventory)
- MMPI-2-RF (Ben-Porath & Tellegen, 2008; 30 items; L-r & Fs: under-reporting & over-reporting indicators)

# Frequency of subjective memory failures & PiB retention



"How often do these present a problem for you..." -names -faces -appts. -where put things -words -dates -phone numbers -etc.

(1 − 7, "*always*" to "*never*")

- 33 items
- higher = better functioning

r = .32, p <.05

### Only MFQ associated with global PiB SUVR retention in adjusted models

TABLE 2.    Regression Model Predicting Global PiB Retention      A. Using MFQ total score and covariates								
	Unstandardized Coefficients		Coefficients					
	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	р			
Constant	1.233	0.566		2.178	0.032			
Age	0.016	0.006	0.323	2.825	0.006			
Sex	-0.038	0.101	-0.045	-0.379	0.706			
GDS	-0.002	0.012	-0.019	-0.175	0.861			
MFQ total score	-0.003	0.001	-0.230	-2.029	0.046			
Notes: Model $R^2 = 0.145$ ; Model $F_{(4,77)} = 3.264$ , $p = 0.016$ .								

B. Using MFQ 'General Frequency of Forgetting' factor and covariates

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	р
Constant	1.479	0.591		2.503	0.014
Age	0.014	0.006	0.277	2.462	0.016
Sex	-0.047	0.100	-0.056	-0.476	0.636
GDS	-0.004	0.012	-0.032	-0.297	0.768
MFQ General	-0.005	0.002	-0.278	-2.425	0.018
Frequency of Forgetting factor	r				

Notes: GDS: Geriatric Depression Scale; MFQ: Memory Functioning Questionnaire. Model  $R^2 = 0.163$ ; Model  $F_{(4,77)} = 3.754$ ; p = 0.008.

# Neuroticism moderates the association



• No other personality factor was a moderator

## Effect of "worry" about one's memory on risk for AD



Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing the conversion to dementia in Alzheimer disease relative to the presence of subjective memory impairment with or without worry at baseline.

Jessen et al. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67(4):414-422.

#### Articles **Proneness to psychological distress** is associated with risk of **Alzheimer's disease** Alzheimer's R.S. Wilson, PhD; D.A. Evans, MD; J.L. Bienias, ScD; C.F. Mendes de Leon, PhD; J.A. Schneider, MD; and D.A. Bennett, MD දින Dementia Section 2 March 199 Alzheimer's & Dementia 📕 (2013) 1–8 ELSEVIER Personality and risk of Alzheimer's disease: New data and meta-analysis Antonio Terracciano<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Angelina R. Sutin<sup>a,b</sup>, Yang An<sup>a</sup>, Richard J. O'Brien<sup>c</sup>, Luigi Ferrucci<sup>a</sup>, Alan B. Zonderman<sup>a</sup>, Susan M. Resnick<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, MD, USA <sup>b</sup>Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee, FL, USA A **Open Access** Research **Common psychosocial stressors** in middle-aged women related to longstanding distress and increased risk of Alzheimer's disease: a 38-year longitudinal population study

NEUROLOGY 2003;61:1479-1485

Lena Johansson,<sup>1</sup> Xinxin Guo,<sup>1</sup> Tore Hällström,<sup>1,2</sup> Maria C Norton,<sup>3</sup> Margda Waern,<sup>1</sup> Svante Östling,<sup>1</sup> Calle Bengtsson,<sup>4</sup> Ingmar Skoog<sup>1</sup>

BMI

### **Pilot Study:**

### 14 SCD patients presenting in a memory disorder clinic

Case #	Age (years)	Sex	Education (years)	APOE	MMSE	CERAD WLL DR (max=10)	mR-O Copy (max=24)	Animal Fluency	Trails B (sec)	PiB status
1	70	м	20	33	30	6	23	17	40	+
2	66	F	20	33	29	10	23	30	78	+
3	65	F	18	34	30	8	21	23	57	+
4	69	F	20	33	30	8	24	25	45	-
5	74	F	16	33	29	9	23	30	68	-
6	67	F	16	34	29	6	23	28	64	-
7	65	F	17	34	28	7	22	19	58	+
8	70	М	20	34	30	8	24	22	60	+
9	63	М	16	33	27	8	24	21	64	-
10	76	F	16		29	*	19	15	80	-
11	69	F	13	33	28	8	24	24	62	+
12	69	М	18	33	29	7	22	17	68	-
13	69	F	18	33	30	7	23	34	89	+
14	61	М	18	23	30	8	24	17	69	+

Normal cognitive test scores ...

... Elevated scores on SCC scales, depression, neuroticism ( = dysphoric mood)

	MFQ (higher=better)	CFQ (higher=worse)	SCCS (higher=worse)	GDS	Neuroticism (T-score)	PiB status
Case #						
1	257	52	6	16	57	+
2	228	50	9		50	+
3	262	51	10	11	55	+
4	230	37	9	9	58	-
5	265	50	15	15	71	-
6	269	48	8	2	50	-
7	229	51	16	8	59	+
8	219	54	15	10	46	+
9	277	44	11	7	44	-
10	242	40	8	11	46	-
11	249	64	10	26	75	+
12	299	34	6	3	39	-
13	193	59	12	6	50	+
14	243	62	12	5	39	+

### Pilot study:

14 SCD patients presenting in a memory disorder clinic



Elevated PiB retention in two cortical areas

Snitz et al., J. of Alzheimer's Dis, 48, Sep, 2015

#### Accepted Manuscript

Dual Trajectories of Depression and Cognition: A Longitudinal Population-Based Study

Julie A. Graziane, M.D., Joanne C. Beer, M.S., Beth E. Snitz, Ph.D., Chung-Chou H. Chang, Ph.D., Mary Ganguli, M.D., M.P.H.

#### Population – based cohort: MYHAT Study (Ganguli, PI)

- Age-stratified random sampling
- Small-town Southwest PA
- N=1982





Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society (2015), **21**, 732–742. Copyright © INS. Published by Cambridge University Press, 2015. doi:10.1017/S1355617715000922

Do Subjective Memory Complaints Lead or Follow Objective Cognitive Change? A Five-Year Population Study of Temporal Influence

Beth E. Snitz,<sup>1</sup> Brent J. Small,<sup>2</sup> Tianxiu Wang,<sup>1</sup> Chung-Chou H. Chang,<sup>1</sup> Tiffany F. Hughes,<sup>1</sup> AND Mary Ganguli<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania <sup>2</sup>University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida

- How do subjective memory complaints relate to objectively assessed cognition, across 5 annual study visits ?
- What is the sequence of change across time subjective complaints leading objective cognition, or vice versa, or both pathways important ?
  - Bivariate latent change score modeling
    - Does *objective* cognition at time 1 predict *subjective* cognition at time 2 ?
    - Does *subjective* cognition at time 1 predict *objective* cognition at time 2 ?
  - Broad spectrum of cognition at baseline (17% CDR 0.5)







- MEMORY temporal dynamics different than other two domains
- Poor insight at lower objective memory performance
- Meaning & prognostic value of subjective memory complaints nuanced & complex – here dependent on starting point of objectively assessed memory function

Snitz, Small, Wang, Chang, Hughes & Ganguli, JINS; 2015